
26 Jan 06 Version
Day 1
IPTs/Battle Labs/ Innovation Centers
Submit Idea/Innovation via AFC2ISRC Template
Secretariat Reviews Proposal and coordinates Quicklook
Log Proposal in Database
- Proposal receives #
- Email generated to submitter
- Proposal inserted into agenda items for next EC meeting
Day 6
Proposal with Quicklook Recommendation
Executive Council (EC) Validates Proposal
Does EC Recommend Further Action?
No
Secretariat Provides Rationale for invalidation
Day 7
Yes - EC Assigns OPR
OPR Assigns one or more WG
Day 7
QUICKLOOK By ITF I&I Analysis WG
Initial analysis for Requirements, Programmatics, Architecture, Net-centricity, Duplication, and Test & Evaluation Strategy
Day 4
SME Resources for Quicklook – to be employed by WG as required
TTO
IPTs
WGs
Directorates/Designated QUICKLOOK POCs
Architects
DETAILED ANALYSIS By ITF I&I Analysis WG
In depth analysis for Requirements, Programmatics, Architecture, Net-centricity, Duplication, and Test & Evaluation Strategy
SME Resources for Detailed Analysis –to be employed by WG as required
TTO
IPTs
WGs
Directorates/Designated QUICKLOOK POCs
Architects
Net-Centric?
No
Yes
EC Determines If Critical
Critical?
Yes
No
Cat 1?
Yes
No
Cat 2?
Yes
No
Cat 3?
Yes
No
Cat 4?
Yes
EC Assigns Follow-on Actions
Handoff to TTO
Initiative Tracked During and After Fielding
EC Assigns Follow-on Actions
Handoff to TTO
Funded
Shelve Initiative
EC Prioritizes Initiatives
Day 30
OPR Presents Recommendation
Day 28
Prioritization Categories:
Cat 1
Operations Value: War-fighter wants the capability
Technology Readiness: Technology is mature
Investment value: Can be fielded within a reasonable time-frame (start with two years)
Appropriate entity commits to fund - Sponsor identifies existing source of funds (either from within sponsor's existing portfolio, or through designated external [e.g., Joint] source)—no increase in sponsor TOA—the sponsor must identify Program Element, BPAC, Appropriation, Amount, FY)
Cat 2
Operations Value: War-fighter wants the capability
Technology Readiness: Technology is mature
Investment value: Can be fielded within a reasonable time-frame (start with two years)
Appropriate entity commits to solicit funds in next programming cycle - No existing funds, but sponsor identifies potential source of funds—either internal or external to own portfolio, or internal or external to Center—for the next programming cycle
Cat 3
Operations Value: War-fighter wants the capability
Technology Readiness: Technology is not mature to meet a reasonable fielding date
Investment value: No immediate source of funds available - No existing funds, sponsor unable to identify source
Cat 4
Operations Value: War-fighter does not have a requirement for the capability at this time
Technology Readiness: Technology is not mature to meet a reasonable fielding date
No immediate source of funds available - No existing funds, sponsor unable to identify source